MYSORE LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY

TENTH DAY

Monday, 2nd April 1962.

The House met in the Assembly Hall, Vidhana Soudha, Bangalore, at One of the Clock.

MR. SPEAKER (SRI B. VAIKUNTA BALIGA B.A., B.L.) in the Chair.

SHORT NOTICE QUESTION AND ANSWER

Construction of High Dams in Coorg District.

Q.—2. **Sri A. KRISHNA SHETTY** (Mangalore II).—

Will the Government be pleased to state.—

(*a*) whether the Chief Minister in his press interview on Wednesday, the 21st March 1962 (as reported in papers on 22nd March 1962) has stated that the State Government has "almost decided" to drop the high dams in Coorg District;

(*b*) whether these dams had been or have been included in the Third Plan of the State;

(*c*) whether the previous Ministry ad taken a decision to take up these hrojects; and if so, the basis on which phe said decision was reached by the then Ministry;

t (*d*) the details of the said projects, such as the area under likely submersion, the ratio between the area to be submerged and irrigated, the probable cost, etc.;

(*e*) whether the Government has prepared any alternative proposals ?

A.—**Sri VEERENDRA PATIL** (Minister for Public Works and Transport).—

(*a*) No.

(*b*) Yes.

(*c*) Yes. This decision was based on the projects as then got up. But subsequently, it was decided to review these projects and effect changes, if necessary.

(*d*) (i) Likely submersion : Kambadakada—6,600 (in acres)
Harangi—5010 ,,

(ii) Ratio between area to be submerged and irrigated : Kambadakada—29.5 per cent.
Harangi—5 per cent

(iii) Proposed cost.—Kambadakada 1.90 (Rs. in crores)
Harangi— 10.50.

(*e*) Alternative proposals are under investigation.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎ. ಕೃಷ್ಣಶೆಟ್ಟಿ.—ಸ್ವಾಮಿ, ಈಗ ಸಿದ್ಧ ಪಡಿಸಿದ ಯೋಜನೆಗಳ ಆಧಾರದ ಮೇಲೆ ಪುನಃ ಪರಿಶೀಲನೆ ನಡೆಸಬೇಕೆಂದು ಹೇಳಿದರು, ಇದು ಆಗಿನ ಮಂತ್ರಿ ಮಂಡಲದ ತೀರ್ಮಾನವೊ ಅಥವಾ ಈಗಿನ ಮಂತ್ರಿ ಮಂಡಲದ ತೀರ್ಮಾನವೊ ?

*ಶ್ರೀ ವೀರೇಂದ್ರ ಪಾಟೀಲ್.—ಈ ಯೋಜನೆಗಳ ಮೇಲೆ ಮೊದಲು ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಂಡ ತೀರ್ಮಾನವೂ ಆಗಿನ ಮಂತ್ರಿ ಮಂಡಲದ್ದು, ಈಗ ತಿದ್ದುಪಡಿಯಾಗಿರುವುದೂ ಆಗಿನ ಸರ್ಕಾರದ ತೀರ್ಮಾನ.

Sri G. VENKATAI GOWDA.—Sir, it was stated that it was decided to review the projects and make changes if necessary. May I know the date on which Government decided to review these projects ?

Sri VEERENDRA PATIL.—This is in the month of April 1961.

Sri C. J. MUCKANNAPPA.—Is it not a fact that after going through all the procedure, these dams were included in the Third Five Year Plan ?

Sri VEERENDRA PATIL.—I do not know what the Hon'ble Member means by going through the procedure.

*Asterik indicates that the remarks or speeches have not been revised by the member concerned.

Sri C. J. MUCKANNAPPA.—My question is very simple and it is whether these have been or have not been included in the Third Plan of the State after getting the whole thing examined, *i.e* the pros and cons and after verifying whether it is feasible or not to put these dams in Coorg.

Sri VEERENDRA PATIL.—These schemes were investigated and afterwards included in the Third Five Year Plan. Since a review was necessitated, as decided by the previous Government that these projects required some modification, these are under investigation.

Sri M. C. BASAPPA.—Out of the 6,600 acres of Malnad area to be submerged, may I know the area to be submerged under Plantation and the area of waste lands to be submerged ?

Sri VEERENDRA PATIL.—I am sorry, those details are not with me now.

Sri M. C. BASAPPA.—May I know the number of acres that will come under irrigation when the projects Kambadakada and Harangi are completed ?

(The Hon'ble Minister was looking into the files)

Mr. SPEAKER.—The Hon'ble Minister has not got the figures. He may...

Sri VEERENDRA PATIL.—I shall find out and submit the figures.

Sri C. J. MUCKANNAPPA.—The Hon'ble Minister, the other day, was able to tell us that majority of the coffee and cardamom plantations would come under submersion. But today, he is not in a position to give us the number. What is the number of acres that will come under submersion under coffee plantation ?

Sri VEERENDRA PATIL.—Those figures are not with me now. Thatis why I am unable to supply that information just now. If the Hon'ble Member wants, I am prepared to supply the information.

Sri C. J. MUCKANNAPPA.—Unless these doubts are cleared, we have got an apprehension that the Government is meddling with this matter. Sir, may I know what was the decision taken by the previous Government when they included these two dams in the Third Plan—about Harangi and Kambadakada ? I mean the decision taken by the previous Government on their inclusion in the Third Plan.

Sri VEERENDRA PATIL.—As the Hon'ble Member is aware, the previous Government has taken two decisions. The first is to include these two projects in the Third Five Year Plan. Subsequently, they have taken a decision to investigate alternative sites on the possibility of reducing submersion of coffee and other rich areas.

Sri C. J. MUCKANNAPPA.—May I know why this revision has taken place with regard to the inclusion of these two dams in the Third Plan ?

Sri VEERENDRA PATIL.—Mere inclusion by the Government in the Third Five Year Plan is not final. We have to get the technical clearance from the Central Water and Power Commission and from the Central Government as well. As I have already said, we received suggestions from the Central Water and Power Commission and accordingly, investigations are going on.

Sri S SHIVAPPA.—May I know what was the main reason for the Government to review these projects, which was decided by the same Government before ?

Mr. SPEAKER.—The Hon'ble Minister has answered that question.

Sri VEERENDRA PATIL.—The Hon'ble Member is repeating the same question. This Government has not reviewed the position taken by the previous Government to include the projects in the Third Five Year Plan. The Central Water and Power Commission made certain suggestions and these are under investigation.

Sri S. SHIVAPPA.—I want to know the following information from the Government. There must be something political. Is it political or economic reasons that have led to the revision of the decision ?

Mr. SPEAKER.—A specific question was put and the Hon'ble Minister said that the schemes were forwarded to the Central Government who have made certain suggestions and that is why the position is being examined. He answered your question already.

Sri S. SHIVAPPA.—May I know who was the technical man who gave you the advice to include these dams at the beginning and what was the technical advice given later on? Who prepared the plans and what was the technical advice?

Sri VEERENDRA PATIL.—I cannot follow the question, as to who gave the technical advice. Our engineers investigated the projects and prepared the plans and estimates and submitted the same to Government and they included the same in the Plan and sent it to the Central Government for technical clearance. Thereafter these projects went before the Central Water and Power Commission. They have made certain observations and we have to carry out those observations and make further investigation.

Sri S. SHIVAPPA.—Are you changing the height of the dam because of the Central Water and Power Commission's observations?

Sri VEERENDRA PATIL.—I did not say anything about the height of the dam. That is under investigation. I cannot say now what is going to happen ultimately. We have not yet received the report from the engineers.

Sri S. SHIVAPPA.—Will the Government think of the feasibility of not reducing the height of the dam?

Sri VEERENDRA PATIL.—I am not a technical man. I cannot say anything. That is why I said that the matter is under investigation. Our engineers are investigating and will submit their report to the Government. In the light of the report, we will take a decision.

Sri D. PARAMESWARAPPA.—May I know the probable time when the investigation would be completed?

Sri VEERENDRA PATIL.—I am sorry that I cannot say when.

Sri D. PARAMESWARAPPA.—The Hon'ble Minister stated that these projects have been included with certain modifications. What are those modifications?

Sri VEERENDRA PATIL.—In May 1961, the State Govt. decided to substitute the Kambadakada dam by a diversion weir in Coorg and also a storage reservoir Regarding Harangi, we were investigating whether it was feasible to minimise the submersion of coffee plantations by reducing the height of the dam at the proposed site by transferring a part thereof to a lower site.

Sri G. VENKATAI GOWDA.—You were pleased to say that the Central Water and Power Commission forwarded certain suggestions. In which month did they come to this Government?

Sri VEERENDRA PATIL.—I do not have those details.

Sri G. VENKATAI GOWDA.—Is it before the Government of Mysore took a decision to review these projects or after that?

Sri VEERENDRA PATIL.—Since I do not know the exact date, it is not possible to reply to that question.

Sri S. S. KOLKEBAIL.—Is the Government aware that there was a strong public opposition to the location of these two dams at a particular place?

Sri VEERENDRA PATIL.—Yes, it is also a fact.

Sri G. VENKATAI GOWDA.—Has it come to the notice of the Government that subsequent to May 1961 when Sri Mallappa who was then a member protested against putting up the high dams in these two places, the then Hon'ble Minister for Public Works Sri Channabasappa defended the action of Government on the floor of this House?

Sri VEERENDRA PATIL.—I do not have that information.

Sri G. VENKATAI GOWDA.—It is said that only 5 per cent of the area is to be submerged and nearly 1,02,000 acres would be benefited by irrigation. If that is so, is it not beneficial to the State to take up the Harangi Project?

Sri VEERENDRA PATIL.—That matter is under investigation.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಎಂ. ಕೃಷ್ಣ.—ಹಿಂದಿನ ಸರ್ಕಾರ ಪುನರ್‌ವಿಮರ್ಶೆ ಮಾಡಿರುವುದನ್ನು ಮತ್ತೆ ಪುನರ್ ವಿಮರ್ಶೆ ಮಾಡುವುದು ಸರ್ಕಾರದಲ್ಲಿ ದಕ್ಷತೆಯ ಅಭಾವವಿದೆಯೆಂಬುದನ್ನು ಸೂಚಿಸುವುದಿಲ್ಲವೆ?

Mr. SPEAKER.—It is a hypothetical question.

Sri R. K. PRASAD.—I rise to a point of order, Sir. The Hon'ble Member is taking a second chance to put the question.

(*Laughter.*)

ಶ್ರೀ ಸಿ. ಜೆ. ಮುಕ್ಕಣ್ಣಪ್ಪ.—ಈ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆ ಸೆಂಟ್ರಲ್ ವಾಟರ್ ಅಂಡ್ ಪವರ್ ಕಮಿಷನ್ ಮುಂದೆ ಹೋಯಿತು. ಆ ಕಮಿಷನ್‌ನವರು ಈ ರೀತಿ ಅಬ್ಸರ್ವೇಷನ್ ಮಾಡಿದರು ಎಂದು ಹೇಳಿದರು. ಮೂರನೆಯ ಪಂಚವಾರ್ಷಿಕ ಯೋಜನೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಇದನ್ನು ಸೇರಿಸುವಾಗ ಸೆಂಟ್ರಲ್ ವಾಟರ್ ಅಂಡ್ ಪವರ್ ಕಮಿಷನ್ ಜೊತೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ವಿಚಾರ ಮಾಡಲಿಲ್ಲವೆ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ವೀರೇಂದ್ರ ಪಾಟೀಲ್.—ಪಂಚವಾರ್ಷಿಕ ಯೋಜನೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಸೇರಿಸುವಾಗ ಯಾವ ಯಾವ ಪ್ರಾಜೆಕ್ಟಿಗೆ ಎಷ್ಟು ಹಣ ಬೇಕು ಎಂಬುದನ್ನು ತಿಳಿಸಿ ಅನುಮತಿ ಪಡೆದು ಸೇರಿಸುತ್ತೇವೆ. ಆಮೇಲೆ ಪ್ರಾಜೆಕ್ಟ್ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳುವಾಗ ತಪಶೀಲಾಗಿ ಚೆಕ್ಕಿ ಕಲ್ಲಾಗಿ ಹೈ ಪವರ್ ಕಮಿಷನ್‌ನವರು ಪರಿಶೀಲಿಸಿ ಹೇಗೆ ಮಾಡಬೇಕೆಂಬ ವಿಚಾರದಲ್ಲಿ ನಲಹೆ ಕೊಡುತ್ತಾರೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಸಿ. ಜೆ. ಮುಕ್ಕಣ್ಣಪ್ಪ.—ಪಂಚವಾರ್ಷಿಕ ಯೋಜನೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಸೇರಿಸುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಮುಂಚೆ ಸೆಂಟ್ರಲ್ ವಾಟರ್ ಅಂಡ್ ಪವರ್ ಕಮಿಷನ್ ಜೊತೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಡಿಸ್‌ಕಸ್ ಮಾಡಲಿಲ್ಲವೆ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ವೀರೇಂದ್ರ ಪಾಟೀಲ್.—ಪ್ಲಾನ್‌ನಲ್ಲಿ ಸೇರಿಸುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಮುಂಚೆ ಸೆಂಟ್ರಲ್ ವಾಟರ್ ಮತ್ತು ಪವರ್ ಕಮಿಷನ್ ಜೊತೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಡಿಸ್‌ಕಸ್ ಮಾಡುವುದಕ್ಕಾಗುವುದಿಲ್ಲ. ಸೇರಿಸಿದ ಮೇಲೆ ತಪಶೀಲಾಗಿ ನ್ಯೂಟಿನಿ ಮಾಡಿ ಆ ಕಮಿಷನ್ ನೂಕ್ತ ನಲಹೆ ನೀಡುತ್ತದೆ.

Sri C. J. MUCKANNAPPA.—Is it after public agitation in this State that the Central Water and Power Commission intervened in this matter or did this Government *suo moto* take the initiative in this matter ?

Sri VEERENDRA PATIL.—I have already made it clear that I do not know the date when the Central Water and Power Commission made the suggestion. That is why it is not possible for me to reply to this question.

ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಲಕ್ಕಪ್ಪ.—ಬೇರೆ ಯೋಜನೆಗಳು ಪರ್ಯಾಲೋಚನೆಯಲ್ಲಿರುವುದಾಗಿ ಹೇಳಿರುವುವು ಯಾವುವು ?

Mr. SPEAKER.—That is outside the scope of the question.

Sri G. VENKATAI GOWDA.—Sir, this question may be held over for the simple reason that the Hon'ble Minister is not able to furnish on what date the Central Water and Power Commission have forwarded the suggestions, on what date the Government of Mysore took the decision to review these changes, what is the value of the area that is likely to come under submersion, what is the forest area, plantation area, waste land and so on and so forth that is likely to come under submersion.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಶಿವಪ್ಪ.—ಈ ಯೋಜನೆಯಿಂದ ಎಷ್ಟು ಎಕರೆಗಳು ಮುಳುಗಡೆಯಾಗುತ್ತವೆ ? ಎಷ್ಟು ಎಕರೆಗಳು ನೀರಾವರಿಗೆ ಬರುತ್ತವೆ ?

Sri VEERENDRA PATIL.—According to the original proposal, dams at Kambadakada or at Cauvery costing Rs. 1·9 crores and submerging 6,600 acres of wet lands, coffee plantations in addition to forest areas and irrigating 2,500 acres in Coorg and 20,000 acres along the river channels of Cauvery were proposed for inclusions in the Third Five-Year Plan.

Sri C. J. MUCKANNAPPA.—Sir, the Hon'ble Member Sri G. Venkatai Gowda wanted this question to be held over so that we might get satisfactory replies from the Government.

Mr. SPEAKER.—The Hon'ble Member Sri Venkatai Gowda has made that request and it is before me.

Sri C. J. MUCKANNAPPA.—He has given wrong figures. I would put it that the whole thing is in a mess. I therefore request the Chair to ask the Government to give a correct answer.

Sri VEERENDRA PATIL.—Sir, I take exception to the member saying that I have supplied wrong or false information to the House. Whatever authentic information I have, I have placed it before the House. I am not expected to supply any wrong or false information.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಶಿವಪ್ಪ.—ಈ ಪ್ರಾಜೆಕ್ಟನ್ನು ಶಾಸ್ತ್ರೀಯವಾಗಿ ಕಟ್ಟುವುದರಿಂದ ಬಹಳ ಅನುಕೂಲವೆಂಬುದು ನಿಜವೆ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ವೀರೇಂದ್ರ ಪಾಟೀಲ್.—ಈ ವಿಚಾರವನ್ನು ಪರಿಶೀಲನಬೇಕಾಗುತ್ತದೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಶಿವಪ್ಪ.—ಈ ಪ್ರಾಜೆಕ್ಟುಗಳನ್ನು ಕಟ್ಟ ತಕ್ಕದ್ದು ಬಹಳ ಶ್ಲಾಘನೀಯವಾದದ್ದು ಎಂದು ಇದ್ದರೂ ಕೂಡ ತಾವು ಇದನ್ನು ಮತ್ತೆ ಈಗ ಪುನರ್ ವಿಮರ್ಶೆ ಮಾಡಬೇಕು ಎಂದು ಹೇಳುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಇರತಕ್ಕ ಪ್ರಬಲವಾದ ಕಾರಣ ಏನು ?

ಶ್ರೀ ವೀರೇಂದ್ರ ಪಾಟೀಲ್.—ಅದಕ್ಕೆ ಪ್ರಬಲವಾದ ಕಾರಣವೇನೆಂಬುದನ್ನು ನಾನು ಈಗಾಗಲೇ ಹೇಳಿದ್ದೇನೆ. ಇದರ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಕೆಲವು ನಲಹೆಗಳು ಕಮಿಷನ್ನಿನವರ ಕಡೆಯಿಂದ ಬಂದಿವೆ. ಈಗ ಆ ಪ್ರಾಜೆಕ್ಟಿನ ಪ್ರದೇಶದಲ್ಲಿ ಬಹಳ ರಿಚ್ ಏರಿಯಾ ಆಗಿರುವ ಕಾಫೀ ಮತ್ತು ಕಾರ್ಡಮಮ್ ಪ್ಲಾಂಟೇಷನ್‌ಗಳು ಹೆಚ್ಚು ಸಬ್‌ಮರ್ಜ್ ಆಗುವುದು ಇದೆ. ಅದಕ್ಕೋಸ್ಕರ ಅದನ್ನು ಕಡಮೆ ಮಾಡಿ ಜನಗಳಿಗೆ ಹೆಚ್ಚು ನೀರು ಸಿಕ್ಕಿ ಅನುಕೂಲವಾಗುವಂತೆ ಮಾಡಲು ಪುನಃ ಪರಿಶೀಲನೆ ಮಾಡಬೇಕು. ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ ಇದನ್ನು ಪುನಃ ಪರಿಶೀಲನೆ ಮಾಡುತ್ತಿದ್ದೇವೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಇ. ನಾರಾಯಣಗೌಡ.—ಈ ಪೊಲಿಟಿಕಲ್ ಪ್ರಾಜೆಕ್ಟ್‌ನ ವಿಚಾರವಾಗಿ ನರ್ಕಾರದವರು ಉಚಿತವಾದ ತೀರ್ಮಾನಕ್ಕೆ ಬರುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಅಸಹಾಯಕರಾಗಿದ್ದಾರೆಂದರೆ ಇದನ್ನು ಒಪ್ಪಿಕೊಳ್ಳುತ್ತೀರಾ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ವೀರೇಂದ್ರ ಪಾಟೀಲ್.—ಇದು ಪೊಲಿಟಿಕಲ್ ಪ್ರಾಜೆಕ್ಟ್ ಅಲ್ಲ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಶಿವಪ್ಪ.—ಶೇಕಡಾ 29·5 ರಷ್ಟು ಪ್ರದೇಶಮಾತ್ರ ಮುಳುಗಡೆಯಾಗುತ್ತದೆಂದು ಹೇಳಿದೆ. ಹಾಗಾದರೆ ಇಲ್ಲಿಯವರೆಗೆ ಕಟ್ಟಿರತಕ್ಕ ಪ್ರಾಜೆಕ್ಟುಗಳನ್ನು ಇದೇ ಪ್ರಮಾಣದಲ್ಲಿ ಕಟ್ಟಲಾಗಿದೆಯೇ?

ಶ್ರೀ ವೀರೇಂದ್ರ ಪಾಟೀಲ್.—ಇಲ್ಲಿ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆ ಇರುವುದು ಕಾಫೀ ಮತ್ತು ಕಾರ್ಡಮಮ್ ಪ್ಲಾಂಟೇಷನ್ ಸಬ್‌ಮರ್ಜ್ ಆಗುವುದು. ಇವೊತ್ತು ಫಾರಿಜ್ ಎಕ್ಸ್‌ಚೇಂಜ್ ಅನ್ನು ಮಾಡತಕ್ಕ ಏರಿಯಾ ಸಬ್‌ಮರ್ಜ್ ಆಗುವುದನ್ನು ಕಡಮೆ ಮಾಡಬೇಕು. ಹೆಚ್ಚು ಜನಗಳಿಗೆ ಇದರಿಂದ ಲಾಭ ಬರುವಂತೆ ಮಾಡಬೇಕೆಂಬುದು ನಮ್ಮ ಉದ್ದೇಶ. ಅದಕ್ಕೋಸ್ಕರ ಇದು ಈಗ ಪುನಃ ಇನ್‌ವೆಸ್ಟಿಗೇಷನ್ ಆಗುತ್ತಿದೆ. ಈ ಎರಡು ಪ್ರಾಜೆಕ್ಟುಗಳನ್ನೂ ಕೈ ಬಿಡಬೇಕೆಂಬ ಇಚೆ ಸರ್ಕಾರಕ್ಕೆ ಇಲ್ಲ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಸಿ. ಜೆ. ವಿಜಯಣ್ಣಪ್ಪ.—ಈಗ ತಮ್ಮ ಅಭಿಪ್ರಾಯದ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ಕಂಬದಕಡ ಪ್ರಾಜೆಕ್ಟಿನ ಕೆಳಗಡೆ 6600 ಎಕರೆ ಭೂಮಿ ಸಬ್‌ಮರ್ಜ್ ಆಗುತ್ತದೆ ಎಂದು ಇದೆ. ಆದರೆ ಇದನ್ನು ರಿವೈಸ್ ಮಾಡಬೇಕು ಎಂದು ತಮ್ಮ ತಲೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಹೊಕ್ಕಾಗ ತಮ್ಮ ಮುಂದೆ ಇದ್ದಂಥ ವಿಷಯಗಳೇನು?

ಶ್ರೀ ವೀರೇಂದ್ರ ಪಾಟೀಲ್.—ತಾವು ಕೇಳಿದ್ದೇ ಕೇಳುತ್ತಿದ್ದೀರಿ. ನಾನು ಹೇಳಿದ್ದೇ ಹೇಳುತ್ತಿದ್ದೇನೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಸಿ. ಜೆ. ಮುಕ್ಕಣ್ಣಪ್ಪ.—ತಾವು ಇದನ್ನು ರಿವೈಸ್ ಮಾಡಬೇಕು ಎಂದು ವಿಚಾರ ಮಾಡುವಾಗ ತಮ್ಮ ಮುಂದೆ ಇದ್ದಂಥ ವಿಷಯಗಳೇನು? ಈ ಪ್ರಾಜೆಕ್ಟುಗಳನ್ನು ಕಟ್ಟುವುದರಿಂದ ಇಷ್ಟು ಎಕರೆ ಫಾರೆಸ್ಟ್ ಲ್ಯಾಂಡ್ ಹೋಗುತ್ತದೆ, ಇಷ್ಟು ಎಕರೆ ಕರಾಬ್ ಲ್ಯಾಂಡ್ ಹೋಗುತ್ತದೆ, ಇಷ್ಟು ಎಕರೆ ಕಾಫಿ ತೋಟ ಮುಳುಗಡೆಯಾಗುತ್ತದೆ, ಇಷ್ಟು ಎಕರೆ ಕಾರ್ಡಮಮ್ ತೋಟ ಮುಳುಗಡೆಯಾಗುತ್ತದೆ ಎಂದೇನಾದರೂ ಇದನ್ನು ರಿವೈಸ್ ಮಾಡುತ್ತೀರಾ? ಇಲ್ಲದೆ ಹೋದರೆ ಇನ್ನು ಯಾವುದಾದರೂ ಬೇರೆ ಕಾರಣ ಇದೆಯೇ ಎಂದು ನಾನು ತಮ್ಮನ್ನು ಕೇಳುತ್ತಾ ಇರುವುದು? ಇದಕ್ಕೆ ಸರಿಯಾದ ಉತ್ತರವನ್ನು ಹೇಳಬೇಕು.

ಶ್ರೀ ವೀರೇಂದ್ರ ಪಾಟೀಲ್.—ಅದಕ್ಕೆ ಕಾರಣಗಳನ್ನು ಈಗಾಗಲೇ ಹೇಳಿದ್ದೇನೆ. ಇದರ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಕೆಲವು ನಲಹೆಗಳು ಕಮಿಷನ್ನಿನಿಂದಲೂ ಬಂದಿವೆ. ಮತ್ತು ಪ್ಲಾಂಟೇಷನ್ ಏರಿಯಾ ಸಬ್‌ಮರ್ಜ್ ಆಗುವುದನ್ನು ಕಡಮೆ ಮಾಡುವುದರಿಂದ ಜನಗಳಿಗೆ ಹೆಚ್ಚಿನ ಲಾಭವಾಗುವಂಥಾದ್ದು ಸಾಧ್ಯವಿದೆ ಎನ್ನುವುದು ಸರ್ಕಾರದ ಗಮನಕ್ಕೆ ಬಂದಿದೆ. ಕೆಲವು ಕಮ್ಯೂನಿಕೇಷನ್ಸ್‌ಗಳು ಇದರಲ್ಲಿ ಸಬ್‌ಮರ್ಜ್ ಆಗಿ ಅನೇಕ ತೊಂದರೆಗಳು ಉಂಟಾಗುತ್ತವೆ ಎಂದು ಜನಗಳಿಂದ ಸಾಕಷ್ಟು ಸೀರಿಯಸ್ ಅಬ್ಜೆಕ್ಷನ್ಸ್ ಬಂದಿವೆ. ಇವೆಲ್ಲವನ್ನೂ ಗಮನಕ್ಕೆ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಂಡು ಹೆಚ್ಚು ಪರಿಶೀಲನೆ ಮಾಡಿ ಕೊನೆಯ ನಿರ್ಧಾರವನ್ನು ಮಾಡಬೇಕು ಎಂದು ಸರ್ಕಾರದ ಪರಿಶೀಲನೆಯಲ್ಲಿದೆ. ಇಷ್ಟರಲ್ಲೇ ಆ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಅಂತಿಮ ತೀರ್ಮಾನವನ್ನು ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳುತ್ತೇವೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಶಿವಪ್ಪ.—ಎಷ್ಟು ದಿವಸಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಆ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಆಖೈರು ತೀರ್ಮಾನ ತೆಕೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳುತ್ತೀರಿ?

ಶ್ರೀ ವೀರೇಂದ್ರ ಪಾಟೀಲ್.—ಅದು ಪರಿಶೀಲನೆಯಾಗಿ ಇಂಜಿನಿಯರಿಂದ ರಿಪೋರ್ಟ್ ಬಂದ ತಕ್ಷಣ ಆದಷ್ಟು ಶೀಘ್ರವಾಗಿ ತೀರ್ಮಾನವನ್ನು ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳುತ್ತೇವೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಜಿ. ಪರಮೇಶ್ವರಪ್ಪ.—ಮಂತ್ರಿಮಂಡಲ ರಚನೆ ಆದ ಕೂಡಲೇ ಆ ವ್ಯವಹಾರಕ್ಕೆ ಕೈಹಾಕಿ ಆದರ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಒಂದು ತೀರ್ಮಾನವನ್ನು ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಂಡಿರಿ. ಅಷ್ಟೊಂದು ಅರ್ಜೆಂಟಾಗಿ ಅದನ್ನು ಮಾಡಬೇಕಾದ ಆವಶ್ಯಕತೆ ಏನಿತ್ತು?

ಶ್ರೀ ವೀರೇಂದ್ರ ಪಾಟೀಲ್.—ಈ ವಿಚಾರವನ್ನು ನಾನು ಎಷ್ಟೋ ಸಲ ಈ ಸಭೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಸ್ಪಷ್ಟಪಡಿಸಿದ್ದೇನೆ. ಈ ಸರ್ಕಾರ ಬಂದ ಮೇಲೆ ಹಿಂದಿನ ಸರ್ಕಾರ ಮಾಡಿದಂಥ ಯಾವ ನಿರ್ಣಯವನ್ನೂ ಬದಲಾವಣೆ ಮಾಡಿಲ್ಲ ಎಂದು ಹೇಳಿದ್ದೇನೆ. ಮಾನ್ಯ ಮುಖ್ಯಮಂತ್ರಿಗಳೂ ಸಹ ಮೊದಲನೆಯ ಸರ್ಕಾರದ ನಿರ್ಧಾರವನ್ನು ಬದಲಾಯಿಸಿದ್ದೇವೆಂದು ಯಾವ ಪ್ರೆಸ್ ಸ್ಟೇಟ್‌ಮೆಂಟ್ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿಲ್ಲ. ಪ್ರೆಸ್ ಕಾನ್ಫರೆನ್ಸ್‌ನಲ್ಲೂ ಏನೂ ಹೇಳಲಿಲ್ಲ ಎಂದು ವೋಟ್ ಆನ್ ಅಕೌಂಟ್ ಚರ್ಚೆಗೆ ರಿಪ್ಲೈ ಮಾಡುವಾಗ ನಾನು ಸ್ಪಷ್ಟವಾಗಿ ಹೇಳಿದ್ದೇನೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ಚನ್ನಬೈರೇಗೌಡ.—ಮೊದಲಿನ ಸರ್ಕಾರ ಸರಿಯಾಗಿ ಇನ್‌ವೆಸ್ಟಿಗೇಷನ್ ಮಾಡಿಲ್ಲ ಎಂದು ಭಾವಿಸೋಣವೇ?

ಶ್ರೀ ವೀರೇಂದ್ರ ಪಾಟೀಲ್.—ಹಿಂದಿನ ಸರ್ಕಾರ ಸರಿಯಾಗಿ ಇನ್‌ವೆಸ್ಟಿಗೇಷನ್ ಮಾಡಿಲ್ಲ ಎಂದು ನಾನು ಹೇಳಲಿಲ್ಲ.

Sri D. PARAMESWARAPPA.—Is the Hon'ble Minister able to say how many families will be displaced by this project?

Sri VEERENDRA PATIL.—Those details are not easily available. If the Hon'ble Member wants I will supply them.

ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಎಚ್. ರಂಗನಾಥ್.—ಅದರಲ್ಲಿ ಮುಳುಗಡೆಯಾಗತಕ್ಕ 6,600 ಎಕರೆಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಕಾಫೀ ಪ್ಲಾಂಟೇಷನ್ ಎಷ್ಟು ಎಕರೆ, ಕಾರ್ಡಮಮ್ ಎಷ್ಟು ಎಕರೆ, ತರೀ ಜಮೀನು ಎಷ್ಟು ಎಕರೆ ಮುಳುಗಡೆಯಾಗುತ್ತದೆ ಎಂದು ಶ್ರೀ ಮುಕ್ಕಣ್ಣಪ್ಪನವರು ಕೇಳಿದ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಗೆ ಉತ್ತರ ಬರಲಿಲ್ಲ. The Hon'ble Minister is just evading it, I want to know what exactly that is going to be submerged, not the total acreage as supplied by the Government which amount to 6,600 acres.

Sri VEERENDRA PATIL.—I am not evading to reply to the question. I have made it clear that those details are not now with me. If Hon'ble Members want, I am prepared to furnish the details.

Sri ANNA RAO GANAMUKHI.—May I know at what level the review of these projects was taken? Is it at the Secretariat level, or is it at the Ministers' level or is it at the Cabinet level?

Sri VEERENDRA PATIL.—Sir, in this connection, I would like to be guided by the Chair whether I am expected to disclose the secrets of the Cabinet.

Sri C. J. MUCKANNAPPA.—The Hon'ble Member Sri Anna Rao Ganamukhi wants to know what is the level at which a decision was taken to revise this estimate, whether at the Minister's level, or Secretariat's level or Head of Department's level? What is the Cabinet secret that Sri Veerendra Patil is going to reveal?

Mr. SPEAKER.—I do not exactly follow what Sri Muckannappa was saying. Was he putting a supplementary or was he going to reinforce the question or supplementary put by Sri Anna Rao Ganamukhi? No such reinforcement will be permitted hereafter and he has done it wrongly. I have the capacity to understand and I know how to deal with it.

Sri S. SIVAPPA.—In view of the fact that adequate information is not available in connection with this question, I propose that this question may be postponed to some other day.

Mr. SPEAKER.—That request has already been made. He is not making a fresh request to help the Minister because proper information is not available. We can postpone the question to some other day.

Sri ANNA RAO GANAMUKHI.—I want to repeat the same question. At what level the decision to review this project was taken? Was it at the Secretary level, or Minister level or is it at the Cabinet level?

Sri VEERENDRA PATIL.—At Government level.

Mr. SPEAKER.—The request to postpone is there. But if supplementaries go on like this, I wonder whether the time of the House is usefully spent. I have no objection; I will allow another 20 minutes for this question because there is no other question. We are entitled to spend one hour for questions. But the Members must make up their mind. Sri Venkatai Gowda suggested the postponement of the question, that should put a supplementary question is something which is rather strange.

Sri ANNA RAO GANAMUKHI.—Am I not entitled to get answer to my stragiht question? It is not a proper question? Government level means Secretary or Minister or Cabinet also. Therefore it is a mere evasion of the question and nothing else.

1-30 P.M.

Sri R. DAYANANDA SAGAR.—My Hon'ble friend was a Minister himself. Whether it is at cabinet level, or Deputy Commissioner's level or Amildar's level cannot be given out by the Government. The Hon'ble Minister said that it was at the Government level.

Sri C. J. MUCKANNAPPA.—Sir, is he capable of giving information?

Mr. SPEAKER.—The Hon'ble members must put supplementaries and not convert the question hour into a debate. One member trying to support one view and another member trying to support another view is not permitted. I will permit the hon'ble members to put only supplementaries and nothing else.

Sri S. M. KRISHNA.—I was tempted to put supplementaries but in view of the fact that there has been a request before the Chair to hold over this question to some other date as we have not been getting satisfactory answers and also in view of the fact that the Government are not able to answer the question put by Sri Anna Rao Ganamukhi, I will not put supplementaries. The question may kindly be held over till some other day.

Sri ANNA RAO GANAMUKHI.—I may submit that there is nothing confidential whatsoever in regard to this case. When I was a member of the Government, we used to say that the question was before the Cabinet and that a decision would be taken shortly. There is nothing objectionable in saying like that. If the answer is not forthcoming, I cannot help.

Mr. SPEAKER.—There is a request of Sri G. Venkatai Gowda to postpone this question. I have been observing that this question has been discussed more than once not only through interpellations but even during the course of the debate on the Governor's Address. I do not know whether the hon'ble members remember it or not. Now the Government say that the question is still under investigation and that it has not reached a final stage.

Before it reaches a final stage and before a final decision is taken it is not possible to know whether the scheme is going to interfere with the one already investigated. So, I do not know whether we should spend more time on this now. Secondly this matter is going to be discussed in the course of the Budget Demands. So, by then we may have more time and if necessary another question may be put asking whether the scheme is finalised or completed. So, I do not propose to postpone this question for any other time.

Sri G. VENKATAI GOWDA.—On the basis of investigation we want to know further facts. We want to know the acres planted and go under submersion and also waste and forest lands that would be submerged.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Can the Hon'ble Minister give that information tomorrow ?

Sri VEERENDRA PATIL.—Yes, Sri.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Then, that information may be given tomorrow. The Hon'ble member must not disturb the work of this House. You observe it and know what it is. What you see is not going to be added by my telling anything. We will take up the next item on the Agenda.

Sri VEERENDRA PATIL.—Sir, I beg to lay on the Table of the House :

(1) Notification No. PLM 26 LSG 61 dated 1st December 1961 (Exemption from the Provisions of the Mysore Shops and Establishments Act, 1948 as in force in old Mysore Area except sections 31, 41, 43, 50 and 51 subject to certain conditions to all the establishments under the State Bank of India) : as required under section 6-A of the Mysore Shops and Establishments Act, 1948.

(2) Notification No. PLM 76 LLE 61 dated 23rd February, 1962 [Amendments to Industrial Disputes (Mysore) Rules 1957] ; as required under section 38 (4) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

Sri G. VENKATAI GOWDA (Palya).—I rise to a point of order. In the Order paper the name of the Law Minister is mentioned for laying of papers on the Table but the Minister for Public Works Department is doing that. May I know whether has been authorised to do so ?

Mr. SPEAKER.—There is no point of order. If the Hon'ble member reads the rules he will find that any Minister can act on behalf of any other Minister.

Business of the House

Mr. SPEAKER.—Before discussion begins, I would like to take the House into my confidence. you are all aware that we are not likely to continue to meet beyond tomorrow. Definitely tomorrow is the last day. May I know whether the members would like to sit tomorrow in the morning or as usual at one o'clock.

HON'BLE MEMBERS.—In the Morning, Sir.

Mr. SPEAKER.—If that is so, I do not know what time the Government would require to reply. According to the old practice, the mover of the resolution can also claim a right of reply. So, the discussion will go on till Tea break tomorrow. After that the Government will reply and it will be put to the vote of the House. If that is done, the rest of the day and till Tea time tomorrow, the discussion can go on. It may be necessary to fix a time limit, having regard to the number of members desirous of participating in the discussion. As to who should participate, I would suggest that the Hon'ble members who have already participated, will yield in favour of others. I would like to put a time limit of fifteen minutes to each member. Sri Venkatagiriyappa who spoke for ten minutes yesterday will be given another ten minutes today and he will finish his speech. After that every member will have only fifteen minutes and not more.